

It is stipulated and agreed that protestant is entitled to a stockwatering right for 600 cattle and horses and a domestic right for 40 people on said property.

13. ELMO B. FARNSWORTH & ELANORE FARNSWORTH

The issue presented by this protest is whether the point of diversion for said well is properly described in the Revised Determination. Protestants assert that the point of diversion as set forth in the Certificate of Appropriation is correct and not the point described in the Revised Determination.

Protestants claim that they are entitled to a stockwatering right as described in Certificate of Appropriation No. 4874 and not as presently set forth in the Revised Determination of Water Rights. The State Engineer agrees with this contention and, accordingly, the Revised Determination is amended to show a stockwatering right for protestants as set forth in Certificate No. 4874.

14. EARL E. BARTON

The issue involved in this protest is whether protestant has established a valid water right for the irrigation of the 80 acres of land as claimed under Water User's Claim No. 1755. It is the contention of the State Engineer that said claim should be limited to the irrigation requirements of 76.10 acres of land because this was all the land he found to be irrigated under said claim when he surveyed this area, and further, this was the extent of the beneficial use of water under Application No. 17071 (Water User's Claim No. 1755) when protestant made proof of appropriation and said application cannot now be enlarged.

15. VICTOR A. AND DOROTHEA S. KAUFMAN

The United States of America claims that these protestants do not have a valid water right as described under Water User's Claim No. 2454, and further, claims that it is the owner of a water right from the well covered by said claim. It is the contention of the Kaufmans and the State Engineer that the Kaufmans have established a valid right as set forth in Water User's Claim No. 2545 and that the government has no right from this source.

16. DONALD C. LAMOREAUX

The issue presented by this protest is whether a lessee of the Board of State Lands can make a valid appropriation of water for use on lands which