

and 1643 is valid. The State Engineer contends protestant should be limited to the irrigation requirements of 76.82 acres under Water User's Claim No. 614 since this is the amount allowed by a prior order of this court and protestant does not dispute this limitation. However, the State Engineer limited Water User's Claim No. 1643 to the irrigation requirements of 77.20 acres of land because he found it to be supplemental to Water User's Claim No. 614. This allows a total right for 154 acres under both claims, but protestant asserts that he has a valid right to irrigate the entire 154 acres under Water User's Claim No. 1643 or a total right under both claims for 230.82 acres of land.

6. EARL LIMB

The State Engineer limited protestant's right under Water User's Claim No. 1782 to the water requirements of 10.5 acres of land because this was the extent of protestant's irrigated acreage when this area was surveyed. The issue is whether the protestant has a valid right to the use of 0.25 c.f.s. continuous flow for use on 15 acres of land as provided under Award No. 101 of the Beaver River Decree.

7. CHARLES AND AGATHA MANNERING

The issue in this protest is whether or not protestants have established a valid water right for irrigation, stockwatering, and domestic purposes from a well located 530 feet north and 592 feet east from the SW corner of Section 1, T37S, R18W, S18E. It is the contention of the State Engineer that there is no producing well located at this point that could satisfy the beneficial uses claimed and that the use of water in this area is from a spring stream and developed spring as presently provided for in the Revised Determination of Water Rights under Water User's Claim Nos. 846 and 1097.

8. JAMES A. AND BARBARA J. MAYER

The issue presented is whether protestants have a valid right for the irrigation of 68.40 acres under Water User's Claim Nos. 1077 and 1078. It is the contention of the State Engineer that said claims should be limited to the irrigation requirements of 59.20 acres because this is the extent of protestants' beneficial use under said claims which were used supplementally with Water User's Claim Nos. 561 and 563. The State Engineer further contends that to allow this protest would allow an expansion of protestants'