

meeting the appropriation committee would be advised of the conditions relating to the 16 applications, and they would attempt to work out an agreement to all concerned whereby a resolution could be passed subordinating the priority of these applications to the filing of the Bureau of Reclamation covering the Flaming Gorge and bringing the priority of the applications to the same priority of the remaining 26 applications of the Utah Water & Power Board filings during the restoration proclamation as established by the State Engineer.

It was then pointed out by representatives of the Utah Water & Power Board that perhaps some conflict would exist by the overlapping of projects proposed by each separate government organization in the Ouray and Jensen areas, and it was concluded that the Utah Water & Power Board would further investigate to determine if any of their applications from the Green River intended to supply the same area as the two applications of the Bureau of Reclamation, and it was then suggested that action be withheld from the filing of the applications until such time as this could be determined, and it was agreed to take no further action on the establishment of the priority of the applications until October 1, 1958, and shortly thereafter call an additional hearing to establish the priority of the applications.

It appears that there could be at this time a priority schedule determined by the State Engineer giving the Flaming Gorge 1st priority, the Ouray and Jensen area applications, including any applications of either the Bureau of Reclamation, or the Utah Water & Power Board, an equal priority but having a later priority than the Flaming Gorge application's second priority, and assigning a third priority, but an equal priority to the remaining applications of the Utah Water & Power Board, as these applications are primarily of a speculative nature with no definite projects in mind at this time.

Some discussion was had as to the old application covering the Flaming Gorge, which was filed within the time that the Green River was withdrawn from the appropriation and the Bureau of Reclamation recommended it to be left in its present status until a new application was at least filed and completed. It was further stated that this application was filed for the reason that the Colorado River Compact stated that no state should deny the right to file an application under the compact, and it was agreed that this application would be withdrawn to leave no controversy as to its legality on the completion of the present Flaming Gorge application.

It was recommended that a hearing be extended to or shortly after October 1, and that no action be taken until that time.

JIG/ic