

November 3, 2008

Utah Division of Water Rights  
P.O. Box 146300  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-6300

Re: Comments Regarding Rule R655-16, Administrative Procedures for Defining  
Beneficial Uses for Supplemental Water Rights

Dear Mr. Olds:

I am the chairman of the South Utah Valley Municipal Water Users Association (SUVMWA) and a councilman for Spanish Fork City. SUVMWA is a separate legal entity consisting of the Utah County municipalities of Springville, Mapleton, Spanish Fork, Salem, Woodland Hills, Elk Ridge, Payson, Santaquin, Genola and Goshen.

SUVMWA appreciates the opportunity to comment regarding proposed rule R655-16 regarding the administrative procedures for defining beneficial uses for supplemental water rights. SUVMWA agrees with the letter submitted by the Utah Water Coalition written by Fred W. Finlinson.

In addition to the letter submitted by the Utah Water Coalition, SUVMWA is concerned that the proposed rule requires public water suppliers to comply with the Statement of Group Contribution Form for administrative changes. This seems contrary to the indication given at the public hearing dated September 16, 2008.

On behalf of the members of SUVMWA I thank you for the opportunity to comment on Rule R655-16 and would appreciate your consideration of the concerns brought to your attention.

Sincerely,



Wayne Andersen  
SUVMWA Board Chair

cc: SUVMWA Board of Directors

RECEIVED

NOV 03 2008 TG

WATER RIGHTS  
SALT LAKE